

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,362	11/14/2001	Yoshiharu Sasaki	Q67261	7285
7	7590 11/20/2002			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Washington, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			PHAM, HAI CHI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2861	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Offic Action Summary  Examiner Hai C Pharm  Art Unit Hai C Pharm  Art Unit Hai C Pharm  Art Unit Hai C Pharm  As HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under his provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be limited filled and set (x) 0 MONTH for mit her malling date of his communication.  It has peaced from the realing date of his communication.  It has peaced from the realing date of his communication.  It has peaced the mark by a mailiable with his provision of 37 CPR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be limited filled.  It has peaced the mark of the malling date of this communication.  It has been developed by the date of the peaced with a supplication to become ABANCORDEO (30 U.S. C. § 139).  Any reply recently his difficile better where mained and the mailing date of this communication, even if thempty filled, may reduce any  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	7		
Hail C Pham   2861			09/987,362	SASAKI, YOSHIHARU	,		
Period f Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Educations of time may be available under the previous of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a overt, however, may a reply be timely filled after 50 (K) (MCNT+S from the realing date of maintain produces of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a overt, however, may a reply be timely filled after 50 (K) (MCNT+S from the realing date of maintain (C) deven. reply within the statistic reply is a spelled blow, the maintain developer within the statistic reply is a spelled blow, the maintain developer within the statistic reply is a spelled blow, the maintain developer within the statistic reply is a spelled blow, the maintain date of the communication.  Flature to reply within the set or extended principle of the reply with, by statistic, cause the application to become AIANDOURD (39 U.S.C. § 139).  Responsive to communication (S) field on		Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  • Catanables of time the commendation of the communication of the commendation of the communication of the commendation of the communication of the			Hai C Pham	2861			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edeatecians of term may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Edeatecians of term may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  If the period for reply sepecified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If the period for reply sepecified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If the period for reply sepecified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the first (40) and the first of the communication.  If the period for reply sepecified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the first (40) and the first of the communication.  Provided the sepecified above is less than thinty (30) days, and the sepace of the communication.  Provided the sepace of the sepace of the communication, and the sepace of the communication, and the sepace of the communication.  This action is FINAL.  2 by This action is non-final.  3 by Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4) Claim(s) 1-22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-15.22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-15.22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-15.22 and 28 is/are rejected to by the Examiner.  10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  11 The proposed drawing some such as a secretary of the provision of the secretary of the provision of			appears on the cover sh et	with th correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Editactions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(p). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed atter SX (6) MCMTRIS from the mailing date of this communication.  It no provides to make the same that the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(p). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed atter SX (6) MCMTRIS from the mailing date of this communication.  It no provides the mailing date of this communication.  It no provides the provision that the same that the provision and the provision of the communication, even if timely (8) days will be considered timely.  It no provides by the Office will be not be communication, even if timely (8) days will be communication.  Any may received by the Office will when there mainly able of the communication, even if timely (8) days will be communication.  Any may received by the Office will when there mainly able of the communication, even if timely (8) days will be communication.  Any may received by the Office will when there mainly able of the communication, even if timely (8) days will be communication.  Any may received by the Office will when there mainly able of the communication, even if timely (8) days will be communication.  Any may received by the Office will be communication.  It notes that the provides of the communication of the communication.  It notes that the provides of the provides of the communication of the communication of the order of the communication.  Application of Claims  Office and a state of the provides of th				MONITH(S) EDOM			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum status.	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of too will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me tatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  airty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Ctaim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Hotice of References Cited (PTO-822)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-825)  20   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  10   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1-2½ is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6  Claim(s) 1-15.22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7  Claim(s) 1-15.22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a □ accepted or b □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a □ approved b □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b □ Some * c)□ None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(e)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-92)  2  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	·	,—		and a second and a second as the second as the			
A) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15.22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 16-21 and 23-27 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 ☐ Notice of Oratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	3)	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	lowance except for formal n der <i>Ex parte Quavle</i> , 1935 (	atters, prosecution as to the ments is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-989)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Dispositi		,				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the applica	ation.				
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 16-21 and 23-27 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	•			
7) □ Claim(s) 16-21 and 23-27 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15,22 and 28</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>16-21 and 23-27</u> is/are objected t	0.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  Attachment(s)  1) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•		nd/or election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	• —			the Eveniner			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11/	· · · —		aloapproved by the Examiner			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)	12) 🗌	, ,	• •				
13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)	,—	•					
a) \[ All \] b) \[ \] Some * c) \[ \] None of:  1. \[ \] Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. \[ \] Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. \[ \] Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) \[ \] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. \[ \} 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) \[ \] The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) \[ \] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. \[ \} \] 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) \[ \] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) \[ \] Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 \[ \] Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	-	**	reign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	_					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	,		nents have been received.				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Attachment Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)				
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Attachment(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			•		n)		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	-			· .		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		, <del></del>	* -				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_		· <u>-</u>				
3)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO-1443) Faher Mo(s) Of Other.	2) Notic		) 5) Notice				

Art Unit: 2861

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

## Claim 1:

 The claim language in claim 1 does not clearly set forth the claimed limitations such that it is not known what the applicant is intended to claim.

Claims 2-10 are dependent from claim 1 above, and are therefore indefinite.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/987,362

Art Unit: 2861

(e) the invention was described in-

- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 5. Claims 1-3, 11, 12, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Michelson (U.S. 6,204,874 B1).

Michelson discloses a feeding cassette (tray 4) used in a printing apparatus for automatically loading four or more transfer sheets (donor sheets 9-12) and an image-receiving sheet (receiver sheet 8) onto the same recording medium holding member (drum 1) such that the toner layer of the transfer sheet may be brought into contact with the image-receiving layer of the image-receiving sheet. A plurality of sets comprising the receiver sheet and the plurality of donor sheets are stacked in order of feed to the drum with the top sheet of each of the sets being the receiver sheet (Fig. 2). The sets of receiver sheet and donor sheets can also be prepackaged in a box with a large number of sets in each box (col. 4, lines 9-15).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2861

7.

unpatentable over Michelson.

Although Michelson does not explicitly discloses the image-receiving layer of the receiver sheet and the toner layer of the transfer sheet being disposed in opposite directions, the fact that the receiver sheet and the transfer sheets are grouped in stack in the feeding cassette to be automatically fed onto the drum such that the receiver sheet is disposed in a close contact with the doner sheet would suggest such disposition of the image-receiving layer of the receiver sheet and the toner layer of the transfer sheet, e.g., to be in opposite directions, in order to facilitate the transfer of toner onto the receiver sheet under the exposure of the laser beam.

Claims 4, 5/4, 13, 14/13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to arrange the stacking of the sets of the receiver sheet and transfer sheets in the device of Michelson such that the image-receiving layer of the receiver sheet and the toner layer of the transfer sheet are disposed in opposite directions for the purpose of facilitating the transfer of toner onto the receiver sheet under the exposure of the laser beam.

8. Claims 5/1-5/3, 8, 10, 14/11, 14/12, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michelson in view of Taylor, Jr. et al. (U.S. 6,365,305 B1).

Michelson discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the interleaving sheet being inserted between every adjacent recording media.

Art Unit: 2861

However, Taylor, Jr. et al. discloses a laser-induced thermal transfer method and apparatus for forming color image on a receiving element from a doner element, which includes a package containing the receiver element (20) and donor element (10) with an interleaving sheet present between each of the specified elements to be loaded together into a cassette such that the sheets are automatically loaded in order onto the drum (70) to be exposed by the exposure engine (col. 29, lines 53-60 and col. 34, lines 27-35).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate interleaving sheets as taught by Taylor, Jr. et al. in the Michelson device. By doing so, it would provide better separation of the different sheets at the time of loading of the sheets into the exposure engine as well as better protection of the different sheets in the package.

9. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michelson in view of Baek et al. (U.S. 5, 754,218).

Michelson discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the recording head moving in the direction perpendicular to the moving direction of the drum.

Regardless, the structure of the printing device of Michelson in Fig. 2 would suggest such movement of the print head (3) along the axial direction of the drum (1) in order to expose the recording media width wide, as evidenced by Baek et al., which shows a more detailed structural printing device (Fig. 1), in which the print head (14) is

Art Unit: 2861

moved perpendicular to the movement of the drum (12) while exposing the set of recording media consisting of a receiver member (36) and donor member (38) disposed in a close contact on the surface of the drum.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Michelson with the aforementioned teaching of Baek et al. for the purpose of performing imagewise exposure of the total imaging surface of the recording media.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 6, 7, 9, 16-21, 23-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 6, 7, 9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: none of the prior art discloses the claimed features related to a package or a feeding cassette in a printing device, which contains sets of stacked image-receiving sheet and transfer sheets, either including interleaving sheets being disposed between each adjacent sheets such that an extension of the interleaving sheets is extended outward one side of the sets of the recording sheets, or a cushioning material for restraining movement of the recording sheets in the cassette body.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/987,362

Art Unit: 2861

#### Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai C Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-1281. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin R. Fuller can be reached on (703) 308-0079. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722, (703) 308-7724, (703) 308-7382, (703) 305-3431, (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

HAI PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER

November 15, 2002

Har Eli Phan